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We present here the implementation details of the LibrarySearch algorithm, presented in Section V-D of [1], that finds in the library of programs the program that has the smallest semantic distance to the desired semantics. There are two phases of the library search process. First, we look for a program in the library $L$ that minimizes Formula 4. Then, we calculate a constant according to Formula 5. If (5) is smaller than (4), LibrarySearch returns the calculated constant, otherwise it returns the program found in the library.
The brief notation in Formula 4 may suggests that the algorithm has to consider all combinations $y$ of the desired outputs from $D_{i} \mathrm{~s}$, and thus may be subject to combinatorial explosion. However, for the domains considered in this paper, $d$ is a Minkowsky norm and thus it is monotonic with respect to differences on each dimension. Therefore:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underset{p \in L}{\arg \min } \min _{y \in D_{1} \times \ldots \times D_{n}} d(y, s(p))  \tag{6}\\
= & \underset{p \in L}{\arg \min } \min _{y \in D_{1} \times \ldots \times D_{n}}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|y_{j}-s(p)_{j}\right|^{w}\right]^{\frac{1}{w}}  \tag{7}\\
= & \underset{p \in L}{\arg \min }\left[\min _{y \in D_{1} \times \ldots \times D_{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|y_{j}-s(p)_{j}\right|^{w}\right]^{\frac{1}{w}}  \tag{8}\\
= & \underset{p \in L}{\arg \min } \min _{y \in D_{1} \times \ldots \times D_{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \min _{y_{k} \in D_{j}}\left|y_{k}-s(p)_{j}\right|^{w}  \tag{9}\\
= & \underset{p \in L}{\arg \min } \sum_{D_{i} \in D}\left(\min _{y_{j} \in D_{i}}\left|y_{j}-s(p)_{j}\right|\right)^{w} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Starting from Formula 4 , we substitute $d$ with the Minkowsky distance (7). Since the $\frac{1}{w}$ exponentiation is monotonous, we can move the exponent outside the min term (8) and discard it in the next step (9), because this does not change the outcome of arg min. The minimum of sums is equal to minimum of sums of minimums (9). Hence each sum in (9) is equal, we can drop the outer min term (10).

Formula 10 proves that the choice of the optimal desired output $y_{j}$ (i.e., such that diverges the least from the $j$ th component of program semantics, $\left.s(p)_{j}\right)$ can be conducted for each $D_{i}$ independently. This can be done in a linear time with respect to the number of desired values in each $D_{i}$.
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Figure 6. Exemplary three-dimensional desired semantics $D=$ $(\{1,2,9\},\{3,9\},\{5,7,8\})$. The upper three plots present the marginal distance functions for each $D_{i}$. The last plot shows the overall distance (Formula 11). The minimum in $c=9$ is the global minimum of (11), i.e., the optimal constant.

Sorting $D_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ (carried out once, before LibrarySearch call) allows using binary search to choose $y_{j}$, so this first phase of LibrarySearch operates in $O\left(|L| \sum_{D_{i} \in D} \log \left(\left|D_{i}\right|\right)\right)$ time.

Based on an analogous reasoning, we can transform Formula 5 that defines the minimization problem for constants, which leads to (for symbolic regression):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underset{c \in \mathbb{R}}{\arg \min } \sum_{D_{i} \in D} \min _{y_{i} \in D_{i}}\left|y_{i}-c\right|  \tag{11}\\
= & \underset{c \in D_{k}: D_{k} \in D}{\arg \min } \sum_{D_{i} \in D} \min _{y_{i} \in D_{i}}\left|y_{i}-c\right| \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

This transformation is based on the observation that each set of desired values $D_{i}$ defines its own 'marginal' distance
function (the term under the summation) that is piecewise linear, nowhere constant and has minima in points from $D_{i}$ (where the min term attains zero; Fig. 6). Since a sum of such functions is also a piecewise linear function, the overall distance ((11), the bottom plot in Fig. 6) has local minima only for such cs where at least one of the marginal functions reaches zero. Sketch of proof: Between the breakpoints, the total distance is a linear function of $c$ so it cannot have a local minimum. The slope $\alpha$ of that function is the sum of slopes $\alpha_{i}$ of the marginal functions. A local minimum is the point at which $\alpha$ changes from negative to positive, which requires at least one $\alpha_{i}$ to change from negative to positive, i.e., the $i$ th marginal function to have a minimum.
Formula 12 can be calculated in $O\left(\sum_{D_{k}}\left|D_{k}\right| \times\right.$ $\left.\sum_{D_{i}} \log \left(\left|D_{i}\right|\right)\right)$ time, assuming that $D_{i}$ s are sorted.
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